Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/544,111	TAKARAGI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MING HON	2625
I Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>MING HON</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brian Klock Reg. No. 36570</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 July 2009	Time: <u>2:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/M. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquiried the applicant's representative for support for the amendments made to Claim 1. The applicant's representative presented the support for Claim 1 which was seen in Figure 4 and 9 in the applicant's specification. Components 402, 403, and 412 in Figure 9 contains support for the newly amended claims in the claims submitted on May 26, 2009.